



BILL S.3009-B, Part XX  
 ISSUE Repeal of Manufacturers' Fuel Tax Exemptions  
 POSITION Opposed

Our organizations representing thousands of manufacturers across New York State are strongly opposed to the Senate budget proposal that would repeal several exemptions related to the production and use of fossil fuels under the Petroleum Business Tax and the Sales Tax.

Of particular concern are proposed changes that would increase the cost of these state taxes on manufacturers that use petroleum and natural gas in their production processes.

These proposals would:

- eliminate the sales tax exemption (Tax Law Article 28) for “fuel” and “[natural] gas” and gas services used by manufacturers, at a cost of between \$100 and \$128 million per year, based on data from the state’s annual [tax expenditure report](#). (see Part XX, §18.) The impact of this exemption repeal will be nearly double that amount as it is reflected in local sales taxes as well. The state sales tax is 4% and NYC and counties impose the tax at rates ranging from 3 to 4.75 percent.
- eliminate “petroleum business tax” reimbursement (Tax Law Article 13-A) for fuels used in manufacturing at a cost of \$3.6 million per year (see Part XX, §7), which allow manufacturers to receive reimbursements for pre-paid taxes already imbedded in the cost of certain fuels. The PBT is imposed on petroleum businesses “for the privilege of operating in New York,” is set at a variable rate, based on changes in the producer price index for refined petroleum products. For 2023, the rates ranged from 4.1 to 18.1 cents per gallon, depending on the fuel category.

This proposal is based on earlier legislation proposal, S.3389, whose sponsor’s memo claims – without any backing - that these exemptions “prop-up outdated industries or reward energy inefficiencies.”

For example, the sales tax changes preserve the exemption for electric power consumed in manufacturing. However, regardless of this increased tax on fossil fuels, and the obvious intent to promote the “electrification” of manufacturing, many industrial processes simply cannot be technically or economically electrified. As a result, the effect of this proposal is simply to increase the cost of manufacturing in New York State.

And in fact this legislation **will increase costs** for a wide range of manufacturers operating across New York State, making a variety of essential and valuable products, and providing high value jobs. This impact will also fall on manufacturers using state-of-the-art, energy efficient production equipment that is dependent on fossil fuels, and for which no commercially viable alternatives exist.

We believe this legislation represents bad policy for New York for several reasons:

- by taxing a production input (fuels), this will lead to the [pyramiding of taxes](#), in effect imposing a tax (e.g., the sales tax on the final sale of a finished product) on the cost of a tax already paid on a production input (e.g., these taxes of fuels used in manufacturing.) Many tax experts argue [against imposing taxes on business inputs](#), as these costs imposed at the state level will impair the business’ economic competitiveness.
- by adding costs to manufacturers, this proposal will impose another cost on the state’s manufacturing sector, which continues to lose ground to out-of-state competitors. Over the past two decades, despite a number of major new facilities being located here, New York state lost more than 40% of its manufacturing employment and its manufacturing sector continues to be outperformed by most other states.

Under its Climate Leadership and Community Protection Act, the state is moving toward significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and the electrification of much of the state’s economy, guided by its comprehensive “scoping plan.” That transition is already proving to be technically challenging and economically costly. Under major CLCPA components, like the pending “cap and invest” rule, the state is focusing on the avoidance of economic and emissions “leakage” – driving economic activity out of state to less carbon-efficient jurisdictions. Overall CLCPA implementation will be little helped by the tax increases resulting from this legislation (including other provisions that would impact commercial operations, airlines and other sectors) but could contribute to economic and emission leakage.

For these reasons, we oppose adoption of the tax law changes proposed in S.3009-B, Part XX.

Business Council of New York State, Inc.  
Buffalo Niagara Manufacturing Alliance  
Center for Economic Growth  
Council of Industries of Southeast New York  
Ignite Long Island  
Manufacturers Alliance of New York  
Manufacturers Association of Central New York  
Manufacturers Association of the Southern Tier

Rochester Technology and Manufacturing Association

For more information, feel free to contact:

Ken Pokalsky, Vice President, Business Council of NYS, 518-694-4460, [ken.pokalsky@bcnys.org](mailto:ken.pokalsky@bcnys.org)

Tiffany Latino-Gerlock, Director of Government Relations, MACNY, 315-474-4201,

[tlatinogerlock@macny.org](mailto:tlatinogerlock@macny.org)